

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,893	08/04/2003	Toshiharu Mori	15162/06090	6538
	990 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD			GROUP, KARL E	
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX 75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/633,893	MORI ET AL.				
omoc Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MALLING DATE CHI	Karl E. Group	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply one of the period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period with the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, of the period for reply will, by statute, or the period for reply will be period for reply	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	() ()					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· action is non-final					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	co execution formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	. parto G uayre, 1933 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) he held in shovenes. So	Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing (a) is a life	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner Note the attached Office	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	Timor. 140te tile attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application	on No.				
5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	d in this National Stage				
application from the international Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17 2(a))					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	4) Interview Summan /	PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e` `.				
(2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) (3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-4-03</u> .	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e` `.				

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tachiwana et al (5,972,460), Speit et al (6,303,528), Kurachi et al (6,440,531) and Nakashima et al (6,387,510; 2002/0010066).

Tachiwana et al teach a glass composition for substrates to be used in magnetic disks including SiO_2 61-75wt%, AI_2O_3 10-22%, R_2O at least 14.1%, see column 3, lines 31-49.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,893

Art Unit: 1755

Speit et al teach a glass composition for substrates to be used in magnetic disks including SiO_2 40-50.8wt%, AI_2O_3 5-20%, B_2O_3 0-5, R_2O 5-12% and RO 4-20%, see column 2, lines 50-66.

Kurachi et al teach a glass composition for substrates to be used in magnetic disks including SiO_2 63-70 mol%, Al_2O_3 4-11%, R_2O at least 11 mol%, and RO 2-15 mol%, see column 3, lines 28-35.

Nakashima et al '510 teach a glass composition for substrates to be used in magnetic disks including SiO_2 60-72 mol%, Al_2O_3 2-9%, R_2O 4-15%, and RO at least 2 mol%, see column 2, lines 45-56.

Nakashima et al '066 teach a glass composition for substrates to be used in magnetic disks including SiO_2 40-59 wt%, AI_2O_3 5-20%, B_2O_3 0-8, R_2O 5-12% and RO at least 2%, see paragraph 19.

It is well settled that when a claimed composition appears to be substantially the same as a composition disclosed in the prior art, the burden is properly upon the applicant to prove by way of tangible evidence that the prior art composition does not necessarily possess characteristics attributed to the CLAIMED composition. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 15 USPQ2d 1655 (Fed. Circ. 1990); In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980); In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 2109, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971).

4. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Ikenishi et al (6,818,576).

See Table 5, examples 27-30, Table 6, examples 31-36Table 7, examples 37-42. The claims are considered anticipated.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 11-22-04